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I  Preamble

This document is a supplement to Chapters 3335-6 and Chapter 3335-7 of the Rules of the University Faculty; the annually updated procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews in Volume 3 of the Office of Academic Affairs Policies and Procedures Handbook; the University Policy on Faculty Appointments, and other policies and procedures of the university to which the college and its faculty are subject.

Should those rules and policies change, the college will follow the new rules and policies until such time as it can update this document to reflect the changes. In addition, this document must be reviewed, and either reaffirmed or revised, at least every five years on the appointment or reappointment of the dean.

This document must be approved by the Office of Academic Affairs before it may be implemented. It sets forth the college's mission in the context of the mission of the university, its criteria and procedures for faculty appointments and for faculty promotion, tenure and rewards, including salary increases. In approving this document, the Office of Academic Affairs accepts the mission and criteria of the college and delegates to it the responsibility to apply high standards in evaluating current faculty and faculty candidates in relation to the college mission and criteria.

The faculty and the administration are bound by the principles articulated in Faculty Rule 3335-6-01 of the Administrative Code. In particular, all faculty members accept the responsibility to participate fully and knowledgeably in review processes; to exercise the standards established in Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 and other standards specific to this college; and to make negative recommendations when these are warranted in order to maintain and improve the quality of the faculty.

Decisions considering appointment, reappointment, and promotion and tenure will be free of discrimination in accordance with the University Policy on Affirmative Action and Equal Employment Opportunity.

This Appointments, Promotion and Tenure (APT) document is an instrument against which tenure initiating unit (TIU) APT documents are evaluated for approval by the college. It sets forth the procedures to be used by TIUs and described in TIU APT documents. For academic appointment, promotion and tenure; this document characterizes the range of criteria that may be considered in TIU APT documents, including for faculty that hold partial FTE positions in more than one department (jointly appointed faculty). Each TIU APT document shall develop appointment, promotion and tenure criteria that fit the TIU mission and align with the guidance in this document.

The head of each TIU is responsible for ensuring that the TIU revise its APT document to be consistent with this document and with the most current version in the Rules of the University Faculty and the Policies and Procedures Handbook as noted above, the university, college, and TIU missions; and other relevant policies, procedures, practices, and standards established by the college and the university.

The College of Engineering comprises several academic departments led by chairs and the Knowlton School of Architecture led by a director.
II College Mission and Vision

Mission: We seek to develop solutions to important global problems through our discovery and innovation and to prepare leaders in engineering and architecture through our education and outreach programs to enhance economic competitiveness regionally, nationally, and globally.

Vision: We aspire to be the leader in discovery, innovation, and education in engineering and architecture among public land grant universities, recognizing that diversity, equity, and inclusion are essential components of our excellence.

III Definitions

A Committee of the Eligible Faculty

The eligible faculty for all appointment (hiring), reappointment, contract renewal, promotion, or promotion and tenure reviews must have their tenure home or primary appointment in the TIU.

The TIU head, the dean and assistant and associate deans of the college, the executive vice president and provost, and the president may not participate as eligible faculty members in reviews for appointment, reappointment, promotion, promotion and tenure, or contract renewal.

1 Tenure-Track Faculty

Initial Appointment Reviews

- For an appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) review of an assistant professor, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty in the TIU.

(The bullet above is not applicable if the TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations rather than a vote of the eligible faculty. In such cases, the recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee.)

For appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) at senior rank (associate professor or professor), the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty in the TIU. [Regardless of whether a TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations or a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment, Promotion, or Promotion and Tenure Reviews

- For the reappointment and promotion and tenure reviews of assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors.

- For the promotion and/or tenure reviews of associate professors and the tenure reviews of probationary professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors.

2 Faculty of Practice

Initial Appointment Reviews
• For an appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) review of an assistant professor of practice, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty and all faculty of practice in the TIU.

(The bullet above is not applicable if the TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations rather than a vote of the eligible faculty. In such cases, the recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee.)

• For appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) at senior rank (associate professor of practice or professor of practice), the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track and all faculty of practice faculty in the TIU. [Regardless of whether a TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations or a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested, and all non-probationary faculty of practice of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment, Contract Renewal, and Promotion Reviews

• For the reappointment, contract renewal, and promotion reviews of assistant professors of practice, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors, and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all non-probationary associate professors of practice and professors of practice.

• For the reappointment, contract renewal, and promotion reviews of associate professors of practice, and the reappointment and contract renewal reviews of professors of practice, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all non-probationary professors of practice.

3 Research Faculty

Initial Appointment Reviews

• For an appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) review of a research assistant professor, the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track faculty, all research faculty and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all professional practice faculty in the TIU.

(The bullet above is not applicable if the TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations rather than a vote of the eligible faculty. In such cases, the recommendation to the TIU head is the responsibility of the search committee.)

• For appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type) at senior rank (research associate professor or research professor), the eligible faculty consists of all tenure-track, all research faculty, and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all professional practice faculty in the TIU. [Regardless of whether a TIU bases appointment decisions on search committee recommendations or a vote of the eligible faculty, the following action must be taken:] A vote on the appropriateness of the proposed rank must be cast by all tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested, all non-probationary research faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested, and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all non-probationary professional practice faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested.

Reappointment and Contract Renewal
• For the reappointment and contract renewal of research assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all non-probationary associate professors and professional practice professors, and all non-probationary research associate professors and research professors.

• For the reappointment and contract renewal of research associate professors and the reappointment and contract renewal reviews of research professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors and, if permitted by vote of the TIU’s tenure-track faculty, all non-probationary professional practice professors, and all non-probationary research professors.

Promotion Reviews

• For the promotion reviews of research assistant professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured associate professors and professors and all nonprobationary research associate professors and professors.

• For the promotion reviews of research associate professors, the eligible faculty consists of all tenured professors and all nonprobationary research professors.

4 Associated Faculty

Initial Appointment, Reappointment, and Contract Renewal

1) Initial appointment (hiring or appointment change from another faculty type), reappointment, and contract renewal of associated faculty members are decided by the TIU head in consultation with the eligible faculty.

(The bullet above is not applicable if initial appointment, reappointment, and contract renewal are decided by the TIU head following a vote of the eligible faculty. On initial appointment, eligible faculty are all those with practice titles and all tenure-track faculty members. For reappointments and contract renewals, the eligible faculty are all those with non-probationary practice titles and all tenured faculty members of equal or higher rank than the candidate.)

Initial appointments at senior rank require a vote by the eligible faculty (all non-probationary practice faculty and tenured faculty of equal or higher rank than the position requested) and prior approval of the college dean.

Promotion Reviews

• Associated faculty are eligible for promotion but not tenure if they have adjunct titles, tenure-track titles with service at 49% FTE or below, practice titles, and lecturer titles.

For the promotion reviews of associated faculty with tenure-track titles, the eligible faculty shall be the same as for tenure-track faculty as described in Section III.A.1 above.

For the promotion reviews of associated faculty of practice faculty, the eligible faculty shall be the same as for practice faculty as described in Section III.A.2 above.

The promotion of a lecturer to senior lecturer is decided by the TIU head in consultation with the eligible faculty.
5 Conflict of Interest

A conflict of interest exists when an eligible faculty member is related to a candidate or has a comparable close interpersonal relationship, has substantive financial ties with the candidate, is dependent in some way on the candidate's services or success for their own success, has a close professional relationship with the candidate (dissertation advisor), or has collaborated so extensively with the candidate that an objective review of the candidate's work is not possible. Generally, faculty members who have collaborated with a candidate on at least 50% of the candidate's published work since the last promotion will be expected to withdraw from a promotion or reappointment review of that candidate.

6 Minimum Composition

In the event that a TIU does not have at least three eligible faculty members who can undertake a review, the TIU head, after consulting with the college dean, will appoint a faculty member, or faculty members, from another TIU within the college.

B Promotion and Tenure Committee

The college has a Promotion and Tenure Committee that reviews the promotion, tenure and reappointment or renewal of college faculty and provides an evaluative assessment to the dean. The committee’s assessment is advisory to the dean. The college committee provides a vote regarding promotion and/or tenure and consensus that all earlier review processes met written university, college, and tenure initiating unit’s procedures. The quorum consists of 3 members of the College Promotion and Tenure Committee. The committee’s membership shall be as outlined in the college’s Pattern of Administration. The term of service is three years, with reappointment possible.

When considering cases involving faculty of practice, the Promotion and Tenure Committee may be augmented by two non-probationary eligible faculty of practice members.

When considering cases involving research faculty, the Promotion and Tenure Committee may be augmented by two non-probationary eligible research faculty members.

C Quorum

The quorum required to discuss and vote on all personnel decisions within a TIU is determined by each TIU. Eligible faculty includes those not on an approved leave of absence. Faculty on approved leave or Special Assignment are not considered for quorum unless they declare, in advance, in writing, of intent to participate in all proceedings.

Faculty members who withdraw or recuse themselves because of a conflict of interest are not counted when determining quorum.

Faculty who did not attend the entire discussion of a particular case are not permitted to vote on that case. Faculty members who are not present cannot vote in absentia unless they participate by conference call or video link.

D Recommendation from the Committee of the Eligible Faculty

In all votes taken on personnel matters only “yes” and “no” votes are counted. Abstentions are not votes. Faculty members are strongly encouraged to consider whether they are participating fully in the review process when abstaining from a vote on a personnel matter. Faculty who did not attend the entire discussion of a case are not permitted to vote on that case.

Absentee ballots and proxy votes are not permitted, but participating in discussion and voting via remote two-way electronic connection is allowed.
1 **Appointment**

The portion of positive votes required for a candidate to receive a positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for appointment is determined by the TIU.

- In the case of candidates being considered for appointments with partial FTEs in more than one department, school or college (jointly appointed faculty), the TIU will develop an MOU with expectations from the second department, school or college prior to the appointment of that candidate.

2 **Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure, Promotion, and Contract Renewal**

A positive recommendation from the eligible faculty for reappointment, promotion and tenure, promotion, and contract renewal is determined by each TIU and defined in its APT document.

- In the case of jointly appointed faculty, a positive recommendation is determined by the TIU holding the primary (majority) appointment and defined by the APT document of this TIU. The TIU of a jointly appointed candidate must seek input from the joint-appointment unit prior to the reappointment, promotion and/or tenure, or contract renewal of that candidate.

IV **Appointments**

A **TIU APT Document Expectations**

For each type of faculty appointment (tenure track faculty, jointly appointed tenure track faculty, associated faculty, courtesy appointment for faculty, and if desired, faculty of practice, research faculty, or tenure track faculty at regional campuses), a TIU’s APT document must describe: (1) the unit’s criteria for making such an appointment, (2) the evidence to be provided in support of such an appointment, and (3) the unit’s procedures for making such an appointment. It is the expectation of the college that a faculty appointment forwarded from a TIU for approval by the college or a courtesy faculty appointment made by a TIU will have been made consistent with that TIU APT document, and other relevant policies, procedures, practices, and standards established by the college, the Rules of the University Faculty, the Office of Academic Affairs, and the Office of Human Resources.

Each TIU’s APT document must describe the process by which a newly appointed probationary faculty member will receive mentorship support throughout the probationary period. The mentorship process may vary by TIU or take advantage of the CoE Mentoring program. However, at a minimum, the TIU’s APT document must specify expectations for the mentors and mentees with respect to the mentorship process.

B **Criteria**

The college is committed to making only faculty appointments that enhance or have strong potential to enhance the quality of its TIUs. Important considerations for TIUs include an individual's record to date in teaching, scholarship and service, as appropriate; the potential for professional growth in each of these areas, as appropriate; and the potential for interacting with colleagues and students in a way that will enhance their academic work and attract other outstanding faculty and students to the TIU or TIUs (in the case of joint appointments). No offer will be extended if the search process does not yield one or more candidates who would enhance the quality of the TIU(s). With college approval, the search is either cancelled or continued, as appropriate to the circumstances.

The college encourages joint faculty appointments that enhance the quality of more than one TIU. In these cases, a joint appointee will always have his/her/their primary appointment in one of the units. Important considerations in undertaking a joint appointment are by the TIU and other appointing unit(s) in their assessment that the individual’s record and potential for professional growth in teaching, scholarship, and/or service will be mutually beneficial to the TIU and other appointing unit(s), will serve as a nexus for interdisciplinary work, and whose interactions with colleagues and students will enhance the units’ academic work and attract other outstanding
faculty. The expectation is that regardless of rank, a joint hire is a sign of a high level of collaboration between units and a willingness to foster the career of an individual who may not follow a disciplinary mode of scholarship.

A current curriculum vitae for all faculty members, including associated faculty members, must be kept in each TIU.

1 Tenure Track Faculty

Instructor. Appointment at the rank of instructor is made only when the offered appointment is that of assistant professor, but requirements for the terminal degree have not been completed by the candidate at the time of appointment. Procedures for appointment are identical to that of assistant professor. TIUs will make every effort to avoid such appointments. An appointment at the instructor level is limited to three years. Promotion to assistant professor occurs without review the semester following completion of the required credentialing. When an instructor has not completed requirements for promotion to the rank of assistant professor by the end of the third year of appointment, the third year is a terminal year of employment. (Faculty Rule 3335-6-03).

Upon promotion to assistant professor, the faculty member may request prior service credit for time spent as an instructor. This request must be approved by the TIU’s eligible faculty, the TIU head, the dean, and the Office of Academic Affairs. Faculty members should carefully consider whether prior service credit is appropriate since prior service credit cannot be revoked once granted except through an approved request to exclude time from the probationary period. In addition, all probationary faculty members have the option to be considered for early promotion.

Assistant Professor. There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as a tenure track assistant professor has, at a minimum an earned doctorate, or other terminal degree, in the relevant field of study or possession of equivalent experience; a potential for excellence in teaching, as demonstrated by a record of quality teaching and/or excellence in verbal and written communication; a potential for excellence in scholarship as demonstrated by having produced a body of research, scholarly and creative work appropriate to the TIU discipline(s); a potential to perform effective service, including a commitment to good citizenship and collegiality within the TIU; strong potential to attain tenure and advance through the faculty ranks. Appointment at the rank of assistant professor is always probationary, with mandatory tenure review occurring in the sixth year of service. Review for tenure prior to the mandatory review year is possible when the TIU’s Promotion and Tenure Committee (or the TIU of the primary appointment in the case of jointly appointed faculty) determines such a review to be appropriate. The granting of prior service credit, which requires approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, may reduce the length of the probationary period, but is strongly discouraged as it cannot be revoked once granted except through an approved request to exclude time from the probationary period.

Associate Professor. Appointment offers at the rank of Associate Professor and offers of prior service credit require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs.

There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as an associate professor with or without tenure has, at a minimum exceeded the college and TIU criteria for appointment as a tenure track assistant professor and met or exceeded the college and TIU (or primary appointment TIU for jointly appointed faculty) criteria for promotion to associate professor with tenure. In addition, a TIU APT document must address how an offeree, who has not held a faculty position, will be determined to have met the criteria. Appointment at the rank of associate professor normally entails tenure, however a probationary appointment at senior rank may be appropriate under certain circumstances, such as when the candidate has limited prior teaching experience or has taught only in a foreign country. A probationary period of up to four years is possible, on approval of the Office of Academic Affairs, with review for
tenure occurring in the final year of the probationary appointment. If tenure is not granted, an additional (terminal) year of employment is offered.

Offers to foreign nationals require prior consultation with the Office of International Affairs.

**Professor.** Appointment offers at the rank of Professor and offers of prior service credit require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs.

There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as a professor with tenure has, at a minimum exceeded the College and TIU (or primary appointment TIU for jointly appointed faculty) criteria for appointment as an associate professor with tenure and met or exceeded the College and TIU criteria for promotion to professor. In addition, a TIU APT document must address how an offeree who has not held a faculty position will be determined to have met the criteria.

Appointments at the rank of professor without tenure should not occur.

Offers to foreign nationals require prior consultation with the Office of International Affairs.

## 2 Faculty of Practice

Faculty of practice in the College of Engineering will be referred to as “Professional Practice Assistant, Associate, or Professor”. Distinctions among ranks are based on the level of distinction attained by the candidate.

Criteria and policies governing appointment of faculty of practice must be consistent with [Faculty Rule 3335-7](#). Reappointment is based on the candidate’s performance and on the continued needs of the TIU.

Faculty of practice may participate with voting rights in matters of governance and committee service at the College level, except that they cannot participate or vote on promotion and tenure matters of tenure track faculty ([Faculty Rule 3335-7-04(A)](#)) or the promotion of research faculty ([Faculty Rule 3335-7-11(D)](#)). Each TIU approved for faculty of practice must have a Pattern of Administration (POA) that describes the governance rights to be extended within the TIU to such faculty members.

Appointment of faculty of practice entails a three- to eight-year contract depending on rank and probationary status. The initial contract is five years and probationary, with reappointment considered annually. Second and subsequent contracts for assistant and associate faculty of practice are non-probationary and entail a three-to-five-year contract. Second and subsequent contracts for practice professors may entail a three-to-eight-year contract. Tenure is not granted to faculty of practice. There is also no presumption that subsequent contracts will be offered, regardless of performance. If the TIU wishes to consider contract renewal, a formal review of the faculty member is required in the penultimate year of the current contract period. For more information see [Faculty Rule 3335-7](#) and OAA’s [Policy on Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment](#).

**Professional Practice Assistant Professor.** At least an earned master’s degree, with a doctoral degree being preferred, or appropriate professional accomplishments demonstrating expertise in their areas of specialization, a minimum of five years of experience in the workplace, and the required licensure/certification in their areas of specialization as the minimum requirements. There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as assistant professor of practice has, at a minimum, (i) exemplary capability in the offeree’s areas of specialization, (ii) significant experience in the practice of the discipline, (iii) demonstrated professional accomplishment, and (iv) potential to support
student and program development in the offeree’s areas of expertise. Professional publications or actual teaching experience are not required, but either or both would strengthen the offeree’s qualifications.

**Professional Practice Associate Professor.** There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as an associate professor of practice has, at a minimum, exceeded the college and TIU criteria for appointment as an assistant professor of practice and has met or exceeded the college and TIU criteria for promotion to associate professor of practice. A TIU APT document must address how an offeree will be determined to have met the criteria.

**Professional Practice Professor.** There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as a professor of practice has, at a minimum, exceeded the college and TIU criteria for appointment as an associate professor of practice and has met or exceeded the college and TIU criteria for promotion to professor of practice. A TIU APT document must address how an offeree will be determined to have met the criteria.

3 **Research faculty**

Research faculty in the College of Engineering will be referred to as “Research Assistant, Associate, or Professor”. Distinctions among ranks are based on the level of distinction attained by the candidate.

Criteria and policies associated with research faculty appointments must be consistent with Faculty Rule 3335-7. Research faculty members may participate with voting rights in matters of governance and committee service at the college level, except that they cannot participate or vote on promotion and tenure matters of tenure track faculty or faculty of practice (Faculty Rule 3335-7-37). Each TIU approved for research faculty must have a Pattern of Administration (POA) that describes the governance rights to be extended within the TIU to such faculty members.

Appointment of research faculty entails one- to five-year contracts. The initial contract is probationary, with reappointment considered annually. Tenure is not granted to research faculty. There is also no presumption that subsequent contracts will be offered, regardless of performance. If the TIU wishes to consider contract renewal, a formal review of the faculty member is required in the penultimate year of the current contract period. For more information see Faculty Rule 3335-7 and OAA’s Policy on Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment.

**Research Assistant Professor.** There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as research assistant professor has, at a minimum, a record of high-quality publications that strongly indicate the ability to sustain an independent, externally funded research program.

**Research Associate Professor.** There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as a research associate professor has, at a minimum exceeded the college and TIU criteria for appointment as a research assistant professor and has met or exceeded the college and TIU criteria for promotion to research associate professor. A TIU APT document must address how an offeree will be determined to have met the criteria.

**Research Professor.** There must be clear and convincing evidence that the offeree of an appointment as a research professor has, at a minimum exceeded the college and TIU criteria for appointment as a research associate professor and has met or exceeded the college and TIU criteria for promotion to research professor. A TIU APT document must address how an offeree will be determined to have met the criteria.

4 **Associated Faculty**
Associated faculty are persons with clinical practice titles, adjunct titles, visiting titles, and lecturer titles. Professors, associate professors, assistant professors, and instructors who serve on appointments totaling less than fifty per cent service to the university are also associated faculty members. Persons with tenure track, clinical, or research faculty titles may not hold associated titles. Persons holding associated titles are not eligible for tenure and may not participate in the promotion and tenure reviews of tenure track, faculty of practice, or research faculty. Persons with associated titles are permitted to participate in college governance and TIU governance where approved by a vote of at least a majority of all of its tenure track faculty, and also faculty of practice and research faculty in those units where they have been given voting rights.

Associated faculty appointments may be as short as two weeks to assist with a focused project, a semester to teach one or more courses, or for up to three years when a longer contract is useful for long-term planning and retention. Associated faculty may be reappointed (Faculty Rule 3335-5-19).

**Adjunct Assistant Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, Adjunct Professor.** Adjunct appointments are uncompensated. Adjunct faculty appointments are appropriate only for individuals who provide substantial service to the academic or research mission of the appointing unit. Units should establish guidelines for the circumstances in which such associated faculty may identify themselves as Ohio State faculty. Typically, the adjunct faculty rank is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure track faculty. Adjunct faculty members are eligible for promotion (but not tenure) and the relevant criteria are those for promotion of tenure track, clinical/teaching/professional practice, or research faculty.

**Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Professor with FTE below 50%**. Appointment at tenure track titles is for individuals at 49% FTE or below and compensated (1 – 49% FTE). The rank of associated faculty with tenure track titles is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure-track faculty. Associated faculty members with tenure-track titles are eligible for promotion (but not tenure) and the relevant criteria are those for promotion of tenure-track faculty.

**Lecturer.** Appointment as lecturer requires that the individual have, at a minimum, a Master's degree in a field appropriate to the subject matter to be taught. Evidence of ability to provide high-quality instruction is desirable. Lecturers are not eligible for tenure, but may be promoted to senior lecturer if they meet the criteria for appointment at that rank. The initial appointment for a lecturer should generally not exceed one year. Exceptions to lecturer and senior lecturer appointment requirements may be granted by review and approval of the college and OAA. Subsequent appointments may be of longer duration.

**Senior Lecturer.** Appointment as senior lecturer requires that the individual have a terminal degree in a field appropriate to the subject matter to be taught, along with evidence of ability to provide high-quality instruction; or a Master's degree and at least five years of teaching experience with documentation of high quality. Senior lecturers are not eligible for tenure or promotion. The initial appointment for a senior lecturer should generally not exceed one year. Subsequent appointments may be of longer duration. Exceptions to senior lecturer appointment requirements may be granted by review and approval of the college and OAA.

**Visiting Instructor, Visiting Assistant Professor, Visiting Associate Professor, Visiting Professor.** Visiting faculty appointments are compensated. Visiting faculty members on leave from an academic appointment at another institution are appointed at the rank held in that position. The rank at which other (non-faculty) individuals are appointed is determined by applying the criteria for appointment of tenure track faculty. Visiting faculty members are not eligible for tenure or promotion. Visiting faculty appointments may be renewed annually for only three consecutive years.
5 Regional Campus Faculty

As the mission of the regional campuses emphasizes undergraduate instruction, regional campus criteria for appointment at the tenure-track ranks of assistant professor, associate professor, and professor are similar to those for Columbus campus faculty, but give relatively greater emphasis at each rank to teaching experience and quality.

Regional campus criteria for the appointment of faculty of practice, research faculty, and associated faculty are the same as those for Columbus campus faculty in each of these categories.

6 Emeritus Faculty

Emeritus faculty status is an honor given in recognition of sustained academic contributions to the university as described in Faculty Rule 3335-5-36. Full-time tenure track, practice, research, or associated faculty may request emeritus status upon retirement or resignation at the age of sixty or older with ten or more years of service or at any age with twenty-five or more years of service.

Faculty will send a request for emeritus faculty status to the TIU head (regional campus dean for associated faculty on regional campuses) outlining academic performance and citizenship. The Committee of Eligible faculty (tenured and non-probationary faculty of practice, research faculty at the associate and professor ranks) will review the application and make a recommendation to the TIU head. The TIU head will decide upon the request, and if appropriate submit it to the dean, who will forward a recommendation to the executive vice president and provost. If the faculty member requesting emeritus status has in the 10 years prior to the application engaged in serious dishonorable conduct in violation of law, rule, or policy and/or caused harm to the university’s reputation or is retiring pending a procedure according to Faculty Rule 3335-05-04, emeritus status will not be considered.

See the OAA Policies and Procedures Handbook Volume 1, Chapter 1, for information about the types of perquisites that may be offered to emeritus faculty, provided resources are available.

Emeritus faculty may not vote at any level of governance and may not participate in promotion and tenure matters.

7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

Occasionally the active academic involvement in a TIU by a tenure track, practice, or research faculty member from another TIU at Ohio State warrants the offer of an unpaid (courtesy) appointment. Appropriate active involvement includes research collaboration, graduate student advising, teaching some or all of a course from time to time, or a combination of these. A courtesy appointment is made at the individual’s current Ohio State rank, with promotion in rank recognized.

C Procedures

See the Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection and the Policy on Faculty Appointments for information on the following topics:

- recruitment of tenure track, clinical, and research faculty
- appointments at senior rank or with prior service credit
- hiring faculty from other institutions after April 30
- appointment of foreign nationals
- letters of offer

1 Tenure Track Faculty on the Columbus Campus
A national search is required to ensure a diverse pool of highly qualified candidates for all tenure-track positions. TIUs must seek exceptions to this policy from the college and the Office of Academic Affairs in advance. Search procedures must entail substantial faculty involvement and be consistent with the OAA Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection and other university and college policies and practices set forth in the most recent updates of the College of Engineering Guide to Effective Faculty Searches and The Women’s Place Tools for Conducting Diverse and Effective Searches.

Within all TIUs of the college, searches for tenure track faculty proceed as follows:

The dean of the college provides approval for the TIU to commence a search process. This approval may or may not be accompanied by constraints with regard to salary, rank, and field of expertise, and may or may not include guidance on faculty with the potential for appointments to more than one TIU.

The TIU head appoints a search committee consisting of three or more faculty members who reflect the field of expertise that is the focus of the search (if relevant) as well as other fields within the TIU. In the case of searches targeting jointly appointed faculty, the primary appointment TIU will be responsible for assembling the search committee, which must include at least one representative from the secondary unit(s).

Prior to any search, members of all search committees must undergo inclusive hiring practices training available through the college with resources from the Office of Diversity and Inclusion. Implicit bias training, such as that available through the Kirwan Institute for the Study of Race and Ethnicity, is also required of all search committee members prior to any search.

The search committee:

- Ensures that each member has participated in an orientation on hiring for inclusive excellence within 24 months prior to a search.

- Appoints a Diversity Advocate who is responsible for providing leadership in assuring that vigorous efforts are made to use best practices in achieving a diverse pool of qualified applicants. The Diversity Advocate is responsible for ensuring that the committee process conforms with the University Policy on Affirmative Action & Equal Employment Opportunity.

- Develops a search announcement for internal posting in the currently university-approved venue through the Office of Human Resources, and external advertising, subject to the TIU head’s approval. The announcement will be no more specific than is necessary to accomplish the goals of the search, since an offer cannot be made that is contrary to the content of the announcement with respect to rank, field, credentials, salary. In addition, timing for the receipt of applications will be stated as a preferred date, not a precise closing date, in order to allow consideration of any applications that arrive before the conclusion of the search.

- Develops and implements a plan for external advertising and direct solicitation of nominations and applications. Advertising is rarely sufficient to create a diverse pool of applicants. Networking and other forms of personal contact with those in a position to recommend or to be candidates are usually required.

- Subject to specific TIU procedures, screens applications and letters of recommendation and presents to the full faculty a summary of those applicants (usually three to five) judged worthy of interview. If the TIU faculty agrees with this judgment, virtual or on-campus interviews are arranged by the search
committee chair, assisted by the TIU office. If the faculty does not agree, the TIU head in consultation with the faculty determines the appropriate next steps (solicit new applications, review other applications already received, cancel the search for the time being). In the case of searches targeting jointly appointed faculty, the primary appointment TIU will have responsibility in identifying candidates for interview, while all potential secondary appointing units are to be included in the interview process.

Virtual or on-campus interviews with candidates must include opportunities for interaction with faculty groups, including the search committee; graduate students; the TIU head; and the dean or designee. In addition, all candidates make a presentation to the faculty and graduate students on their scholarship and may teach a class. All candidates interviewing for a position must follow the same interview format, and relevant accommodations for disability/impairment should be provided. In the case of searches targeting jointly appointed faculty, the presentation will be arranged by the primary appointment TIU and should be attended by relevant faculty from all proposed secondary appointing units.

Subject to specific TIU procedures, following completion of virtual/on-campus interviews, the eligible faculty of all proposed appointing units will meet within each unit to discuss perceptions and preferences, and to vote on each candidate. The eligible faculty reports a recommendation on each candidate to the TIU head of each unit. The head(s) of the minority unit(s) then convey(s) their preference to the TIU head of the primary appointing TIU.

If the offer involves senior rank, the eligible faculty members vote also on the appropriateness of the proposed rank. If the offer may involve prior service credit, the eligible faculty members vote on the appropriateness of such credit. The eligible faculty reports a recommendation on the appropriateness of the proposed rank or the appropriateness of prior service credit to the TIU head. Appointment offers at the rank of associate professor or professor, with or without tenure, and/or offers of prior service credit require prior approval of the Office of Academic Affairs.

In the event that more than one candidate achieves the level of support required to extend an offer, the TIU head of the primary appointing TIU decides which candidate to approach first. The details of the offer, including compensation, are determined by the TIU head of the primary appointment TIU.

The following must be submitted to the CoE as part of the offer package for tenure-track faculty for approval by the dean:

1. A draft letter of offer
2. CoE New Faculty Finance and Responsibilities to a tenure-track faculty candidate
3. Candidate’s curriculum vitae
4. Candidate’s diversity statement
5. Candidate’s teaching statement
6. Candidate’s research statement
7. A signed Start-Up Commitment Worksheet (if there is start-up)
8. Appropriate letters attesting to the candidate’s qualifications
9. A search committee report noting the process of the search and reasons other candidates were not considered
10. Other items as noted on the college’s current offer package checklist. For example, joint appointments require a fully executed MOU to accompany these materials.

As part of the offer package, a draft letter of offer and CoE New Faculty Finance and Responsibilities to a faculty candidate, accompanied by the candidate’s curriculum vitae, the candidate’s diversity, teaching and research statements, signed Start-Up Commitment Worksheet (if applicable), appropriate letters attesting
to the candidate’s qualifications, the search committee report noting reasons other candidates were not considered, and other items as noted on the college’s current offer package checklist must be submitted to Engineering Administration for review and approval by the dean. Joint appointments require a fully executed MOU to accompany these materials. Engineering Administration will review the offer package for consistency with the essential components required by OAA and the college.

The required documentation for appointments at senior rank and junior appointments with prior service credit can be found in the Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection.

TIUs are advised to discuss potential appointment of a candidate requiring sponsorship for permanent residence or nonimmigrant work-authorized status with the Office of International Affairs. The university will not grant tenure unless the candidate is a (1) U.S. citizen or national; (2) permanent resident (“green card” holder); (3) asylee or refugee; or (4) an individual otherwise described as a “protected individual” pursuant to Title 8 U.S. Code Section 1324b(a)(3)(b). TIUs will therefore be cautious in making such appointments and vigilant in seeking residency status for the appointee promptly and diligently.

2 Faculty of Practice

Creation of a faculty of practice position requires the prior approval of the dean and should be motivated by a desire to enhance the teaching program of the TIU, the TIU’s connection with practice, or the need for additional leadership capacity in academic program development. Approved positions must be posted in the current university-approved venue through the Office of Human Resources. A national search is required to ensure a diverse pool of highly qualified candidates unless an exception is approved by the college and the Office of Academic Affairs. Search procedures must entail substantial faculty involvement and be consistent with the OAA Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection and other university and college policies and practices set forth in the most recent updates of the College of Engineering Guide to Effective Searches, and The Women’s Place Tools for Conducting Diverse and Effective Searches. Search committee procedures follow those for a tenure-track candidate, with the exception that the candidate’s presentation focuses more on teaching or practice.

The following must be submitted to the CoE as part of the offer package for professional practice faculty for approval by the dean:

1. A draft letter of offer
2. CoE New Faculty Finance and Responsibilities to a professional practice faculty candidate
3. Candidate’s curriculum vitae
4. Candidate’s diversity statement
5. Candidate’s teaching statement
6. A signed Start-Up Commitment Worksheet (if there is start-up)
7. Appropriate letters attesting to the candidate’s qualifications
8. A search committee report noting the process of the search and reasons other candidates were not considered
9. Other items as noted on the college’s current offer package checklist. For example, joint appointments require a fully executed MOU to accompany these materials.

Engineering Administration will review the draft letter of offer package for consistency with the essential components required by OAA and the college.

Appointments at the rank of associate professor of practice or professor of practice require approval of the Office of Academic Affairs. For such appointments, the dean may consult with the College Promotion and Tenure Committee.
3 Research Faculty

Creation of a research faculty position requires prior approval of the dean and should be motivated by a desire to enhance the research program of the TIU, the TIU’s connection with research, or the need for additional leadership capacity in research. Approved positions must be posted in the current university-approved venue through the Office of Human Resources. A national search is required to ensure a diverse pool of highly qualified candidates unless an exception is approved by the college and the Office of Academic Affairs. Search procedures must entail substantial faculty involvement and be consistent with the OAA Policy on Faculty Recruitment and Selection and other university and college policies and practices set forth in the most recent updates of the College of Engineering Guide to Effective Searches, and The Women’s Place Tools for Conducting Diverse and Effective Searches. Search committee procedures follow those for a tenure-track candidate, with the exception that during the on-campus interview the candidate is not asked to teach a class.

The following must be submitted to the CoE as part of the offer package for research faculty for approval by the dean:

1. A draft letter of offer
2. CoE New Faculty Finance and Responsibilities to a research faculty candidate
3. Candidate’s curriculum vitae
4. Candidate’s diversity statement
5. Candidate’s research statement
6. A signed Start-Up Commitment Worksheet (if there is start-up)
7. Appropriate letters attesting to the candidate’s qualifications
8. A search committee report noting the process of the search and reasons other candidates were not considered
9. Other items as noted on the college’s current offer package checklist. For example, joint appointments require a fully executed MOU to accompany these materials.

Engineering Administration will review the draft letter of offer package for consistency with the essential components required by OAA and the college. Appointments at the rank of research associate professor or research professor require approval of the Office of Academic Affairs. For such appointments, the dean may consult with the College Promotion and Tenure Committee.

4 Transfer from the Tenure track

Tenure track faculty may transfer to a practice or research appointment if appropriate to the individual’s circumstances, and the transfer will further the interests of the college and TIU in question. A TIU that permits transfers from tenure-track to practice or research appointments must explicitly enable this in its Appointments, Promotion and Tenure document. All such transfers are subject to the conditions specified in Faculty Rule 3335-7-09 for faculty of practice and Faculty Rule 3335-7-38 for research faculty, as well as to the TIU and college limits on the number of faculty of practice and research faculty. Tenure or tenure eligibility is lost upon transfer, and transfers must be approved by the TIU head, the dean, and the executive vice president and provost.

The request for transfer must be initiated by the faculty member in writing and must state clearly how the individual’s career goals and activities have changed.

Transfers from a practice appointment and from a research appointment to the tenure-track are not permitted. Faculty of practice members and research faculty members may apply for tenure-track positions and compete in national searches for such positions.

5 Associated Faculty
The appointment, review, and reappointment of all compensated associated faculty are decided by the TIU head in consultation with the faculty.

Compensated associated appointments are generally made for a period of one year, unless a shorter or longer period is appropriate to the circumstances.

Appointment and reappointment of uncompensated adjunct or visiting faculty may be proposed by any faculty member in the TIU and are decided by the TIU head in consultation with the faculty.

Visiting appointments may be made for one term of up to three years or on an annual basis for up to three consecutive years.

Lecturer and senior lecturer appointments are made on an annual basis and rarely semester by semester. After the initial appointment, and if the TIU’s curricular needs warrant it, a multiple year appointment may be offered.

All associated appointments expire at the end of the appointment term and must be formally renewed to be continued.

6 Regional Campus Faculty

The regional campus has primary responsibility for determining the position description for a tenure-track faculty search, but the dean/director or designee consults with the TIU head (or unit heads in the case of proposed jointly appointed faculty) to reach agreement on the description before the search begins. Searches for regional campus faculty will be performed by procedures like those used for tenure-track faculty on the Columbus campus. Search committees for tenure-track faculty at regional campuses must include at least one member from the Columbus campus unit that will be the primary appointment TIU. Whether or not a national search is conducted, evidence must be presented that the eligible faculty on the Columbus campus are in consensus that the candidate is acceptable when the offer letter is submitted to Engineering Administration for approval by the dean.

Candidates are interviewed by, at a minimum, the regional campus dean, TIU head, and regional campus search committee. The regional campus may have additional requirements for the search not specified in the TIU’s APT document. A decision to make an offer requires agreement by the TIU head and regional campus dean. Until agreement is reached, negotiations with the candidate may not begin, and the letter of offer must be signed by the TIU head of all proposed TIU appointments and the regional campus dean.

Searches for regional campus faculty of practice and research faculty are the same as those described above for tenure-track faculty.

Associated faculty are appointed by the regional campus associate dean, in consultation with the dean/director, TIU head, program coordinators, and other relevant faculty members.

7 Courtesy Appointments for Faculty

Subject to specific TIU procedures, any faculty member within a TIU may propose an unpaid (courtesy) appointment for a tenure-track faculty, faculty of practice, or research faculty member from another Ohio State unit. A statement of purpose that describes the uncompensated academic service to the TIU justifying the appointment is considered at a faculty meeting. If the purpose is approved by the eligible faculty, the TIU head extends an offer of appointment. A copy of a letter of offer of a courtesy appointment for faculty, accompanied by the candidate’s curriculum vitae, must be submitted to Engineering Administration at the time an offer is made.

The TIU head reviews all courtesy appointments at least every three years to determine whether they continue to be justified, and takes recommendations for nonrenewal before the faculty for a vote at a regular meeting.
V. Annual Performance and Merit Review Procedures

The annual performance and merit review of every compensated faculty member is the responsibility of the appropriate TIU head of the primary TIU to which the faculty member is appointed. The college and its TIUs follow the requirements for annual performance and merit reviews as set forth in the University Policy on Faculty Annual Review and Reappointment. The review is based on:

- expected performance in teaching, research, creative work and scholarship, and service as set forth in college and TIU guidelines on faculty duties and responsibilities;
- any additional assignments and goals specific to the individual;
- progress toward promotion where relevant; and,
- activities that enhance the inclusive culture of the college and TIU, in keeping with the values of the university and college.

- Meritorious performance in teaching, scholarship, and service is assessed in accordance with the same criteria that form the basis for promotion decisions.
- Annual performance and merit reviews must include a scheduled opportunity for a face-to-face meeting as well as a written assessment. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, the face-to-face meeting is to include the TIU head and/or designees for all the TIUs to which the faculty member is appointed, while the written evaluation is to be prepared by the primary TIU head or designee and may be signed by all of the TIU head or designees present at the meeting.

Each TIU’s APT document must describe the unit’s schedule and procedures for conducting annual reviews, including guidance on inclusion of material from secondary appointment TIUs in the case of jointly appointed faculty. Annual reviews are expected to provide a written objective assessment of the candidate’s progress in teaching, scholarship and service, and for jointly appointed faculty, to evaluate progress relative to the expectations of each TIU to which the faculty member is appointed. Per Faculty Rule 3335-3-35, the TIU head is required to include a reminder in the annual review letter that all faculty have the right (per Faculty Rule 3335-5-04) to view their primary personnel file and to provide written comment on any material therein for inclusion in the file. Each TIU’s APT document must include provisions for managing any actual or perceived conflicts of interest (cf. § III.A.5) in conducting annual reviews.

It is the expectation of the college that an annual performance and merit review conducted by a TIU will also be consistent with that TIU’s APT document (or documents, in the case of jointly appointed faculty), and other relevant policies, procedures, practices, and standards established by: (1) the college, (2) the Faculty Rules, (3) the Office of Academic Affairs, and (4) the Office of Human Resources.

The dean must assess an annual performance and merit review when a TIU has submitted (1) a Report of Non-Renewal of Probationary Appointment of Faculty; (2) the fourth-year review of a probationary faculty member; or (3) a Report of Contract Renewal or Non-Renewal for clinical/teaching/practice faculty or research faculty. In each of these cases, the decision of the dean is final.

A. Documentation

For their annual performance and merit review, the college requires faculty members to submit the following documents to their TIU head no later than the final day of autumn semester classes:

- Office of Academic Affairs dossier outline, Policies and Procedures Handbook, Volume 3 (required for probationary faculty) or updated documentation of performance and accomplishments (non-probationary faculty)
- updated CV, which will be made available to all faculty in an accessible place (all faculty)
Other documentation for the annual performance and merit review will be the same as that for consideration for promotion and/or tenure. The specific documentation requirements in the areas of teaching, research, creative work, scholarship and service are to be determined by the primary appointment TIU, with the understanding that joint appointments may require some agreed flexibility with the consensus of the TIU head. That documentation is described in Section VI of this document.

Under no circumstances should faculty solicit evaluations from any party for purposes of the annual performance and merit review, as such solicitation places its recipient in an awkward position and produces a result that is unlikely to be candid.

Additional details on annual and promotion reviews for faculty hired under the Discovery Theme initiative are provided in the appointment MOU.

For all probationary faculty, it is the expectation that (1) annual review letters will serve as annual reappointment letters and (2) a copy will be forwarded to the college.

B Probationary Tenure-Track Faculty

Every probationary tenure-track faculty member is reviewed annually by the TIU head, who meets with the faculty member to discuss their performance, plans, and goals; and prepares a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew the probationary appointment. A face-to-face meeting of the candidate with the TIU head to discuss the annual review is required. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, the head of all units within the college to which the faculty member has been appointed must meet simultaneously with the faculty member in this meeting. The meeting must also include some discussion of the relative requirements and progress for each TIU relative to the percent appointment to the TIU. The role of any other faculty member in the annual review of probationary faculty must be described in the relevant TIU’s APT document and must be consistent with college and university rules.

If the TIU head of the TIU holding the primary appointment recommends renewal of the appointment, this recommendation is final. The TIU head’s annual review letter to the faculty member renews the probationary appointment for another year and includes content on plans and goals. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, this letter should include input from all the appointed units. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, this evaluation is to be signed by all heads of units to which the faculty member has been appointed if within the college. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review. The TIU head’s letter (along with the faculty member’s comments, if received) is forwarded to the dean of the college. In addition, the annual review letter becomes part of the cumulative dossier for promotion and tenure (along with the faculty member’s comments, if the faculty member chooses).

If the TIU head recommends nonrenewal, the Fourth-Year Review process (per Faculty Rule 3335-6-03) is invoked. Following completion of the comments process, the complete dossier is forwarded to the college for review, and the dean makes the final decision on renewal or nonrenewal of the probationary appointment.

1 Fourth-Year Review

During the fourth year of the probationary period the annual review follows the same procedures as the mandatory tenure review, with the exception that external evaluations are optional and the dean (not the TIU head) makes the final decision regarding renewal or nonrenewal of the probationary appointment.

Annually, the dean will establish the latest date for the receipt by the college of dossiers from TIUs for candidates undergoing fourth year reviews. The eligible faculty conducts a review of the candidate. On completion of the review, the eligible faculty of the primary appointment TIU votes by written ballot on whether to renew the probationary appointment. The eligible faculty forwards a record of the vote and a written performance review to
the TIU head, who, in consultation with the heads of the secondary appointment units (if applicable), conducts an independent assessment of performance and prepares a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew the probationary appointment. At the conclusion of the TIU’s review, the formal comments process (per Faculty Rule 3335-6-04) is followed and the case is forwarded to the college for review, regardless of whether the primary appointment TIU head recommends renewal or nonrenewal.

A review by the College Promotion and Tenure Committee is required unless the primary appointment TIU head and dean agree to reappoint. The Fourth-Year Review of a probationary faculty member shall not require the solicitation of external letters of evaluation except when either the TIU head or the eligible faculty determine that they are necessary to conduct the Fourth-Year Review. This may occur when the candidate’s scholarship is in an emergent field, is interdisciplinary, or the eligible faculty do not feel otherwise capable of evaluating the scholarship without outside input. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, the head(s) of the secondary appointment(s) unit should be consulted as an additional source of evaluation in determining whether outside letters should be solicited.

The written evaluation from the primary appointment TIU head must clearly provide justification for the recommendation to the college and should be prepared in consultation with heads of all units to which the faculty member has been appointed. If the secondary unit is within the college, the letter must be signed by the head of all unit to which the faculty member has been appointed. The primary appointment TIU head must clearly state in the review the expectations of specific achievements in teaching, research or creative work, scholarship and service that the faculty member needs to accomplish before being recommended for promotion to associate professor with tenure.

2 Exclusion of Time from Probationary Period

Faculty Rule 3335-6-03 (D) sets forth the conditions under which a probationary tenure track faculty member may exclude time from the probationary period. Faculty Rule 3335-6-03 (F) does likewise for extensions of the probationary period. A faculty member remains on duty regardless of time excluded from, or extended to, the probationary period, and annual reviews are conducted in every probationary year regardless of time excluded or extended. Approved exclusions or extensions do not limit the TIU’s right to recommend nonrenewal of appointment during an annual review. Additional procedures and guidelines can be found in the Office of Academic Affairs Policies and Procedures Handbook.

C Tenured Faculty

Associate professors are reviewed annually by the TIU head. The TIU head conducts an independent assessment; meets with the faculty member to discuss their performance and future plans and goals; and prepares a written evaluation on these topics. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review.

Professors are reviewed annually by the TIU head, who meets with the faculty member to discuss their performance and future plans and goals. The annual review of professors is based on their having achieved sustained excellence and ongoing outcomes in the discovery and dissemination of new knowledge relevant to the mission of the tenure initiating unit, as demonstrated by ongoing national and international recognition of their scholarship; ongoing excellence in teaching, including their leadership in graduate education in both teaching and mentoring students; and ongoing outstanding service to the TIU, the university, and their profession, including their support for the professional development of assistant and associate professors. Professors are expected to be role models in their academic work, interaction with colleagues and students, and in the recruitment and retention of junior colleagues. As the highest-ranking members of the faculty, the expectations for academic leadership and mentoring for professors exceed those for all other members of the faculty.
If a professor has an administrative role, the impact of that role and other assignments will be considered in the annual review. The TIU head prepares a written evaluation of performance against these expectations. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review.

In the case of jointly appointed faculty, these reviews should include assessments from all units to which the faculty member has been appointed within the college.

D Faculty of Practice

The annual performance and merit review process for probationary and non-probationary faculty of practice is identical to that for tenure-track probationary and tenured faculty respectively, including guidance for jointly appointed faculty, except that non-probationary faculty of practice may participate in the review of practice faculty of lower rank.

1 Annual Performance and Merit Review for Probationary Faculty of Practice

For probationary faculty of practice, a meeting with the primary appointment TIU head is required to discuss their performance, future plans, and goals. The primary appointment TIU head must prepare a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew if the appointment. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, this evaluation is to be prepared in consultation with heads of any secondary appointment units and is to be signed by all heads of units to which the faculty member has been appointed if within the college.

If the primary appointment TIU head recommends renewal of the appointment, this recommendation is final. The TIU head’s annual review letter to the faculty member renews the probationary appointment for another year and includes content on future plans and goals. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review. The primary appointment TIU head letter (along with the faculty member's comments, if received) is forwarded to the dean of the college. In addition, the annual review letter becomes part of the cumulative dossier (along with the faculty member’s comments, if he or she chooses).

If the primary appointment TIU head recommends nonrenewal, the Fourth-Year Review process (per Faculty Rule 3335-6-03) is invoked. Following completion of the comments process, the complete dossier is forwarded to the college for review and the dean makes the final decision on renewal or nonrenewal of the probationary appointment.

2 Appointment Renewal (Contract Renewal) for Faculty of Practice

In the penultimate contract year of a faculty of practice member's appointment, the primary appointment TIU head must determine whether the position held by the faculty member will continue. If the position will not continue, the faculty member is informed that the final contract year will be a terminal year of employment. The standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-08 must be observed. There is no presumption of contract renewal.

Probationary faculty of practice must undergo a review no later than the beginning of the penultimate year of their contract, so the unit may determine whether it is appropriate to renew that individual’s appointment for a new term. The review will follow the same procedures as the fourth-year review process for probationary tenure-track faculty described above and concurrently with the probationary tenure-track faculty. External letters of evaluation are not solicited. The college dean has the final approval on the reappointment. Positive decisions will be approved by OAA without a review, and this decision is communicated to OAA using only the Record of Review for Promotion in Academic Rank/Tenure/Reappointment Form with no attachments. The Board of Trustees (BOT) has final approval, after which the faculty member is no longer probationary.

If the individual will not be renewed, the faculty member should be so informed, subject to the relevant standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-08.
Non-probationary faculty of practice must be informed as to whether the new appointment will be extended by the end of the penultimate year of the contract. The normal annual performance and merit review will serve as the basis for evaluation. The primary appointment TIU may request additional evidence to characterize the performance of the faculty member during their contract period, including from secondary appointment units as applicable. External letters of evaluation are not solicited. An initial decision from the TIU head to reappoint is final. A copy of the annual review, a draft renewal letter, the Record of Review for Promotion in Academic Rank/Tenure/Reappointment Form with no attachments, and a recommendation letter from the TIU head will be sent to the college for review. An initial decision not to reappoint requires a review by a TIU standing committee and requires the concurrence of the dean. All reappointment decisions are at the discretion of the dean. There is no presumption of contract renewal.

E Research Faculty

The annual performance and merit review process for research probationary and non-probationary faculty is identical to that for tenure-track probationary and tenured faculty except that non-probationary research and practice faculty may participate in the review of research faculty of lower rank.

1 Annual Performance and Merit Review for Probationary Research Faculty

For probationary research faculty, a meeting with the primary appointment TIU head is required to discuss their performance, plans, and goals. The primary appointment TIU head must prepare a written evaluation that includes a recommendation on whether to renew the appointment. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, this evaluation is to be prepared in consultation with heads of any secondary appointment units and is to be signed by all heads of units to which the faculty member has been appointed if within the college.

If the primary appointment TIU head recommends renewal of the appointment, this recommendation is final. The TIU head’s annual review letter to the faculty member renews the probationary appointment for another year and includes content on future plans and goals. The faculty member may provide written comments on the review. The TIU Head letter (along with the faculty member’s comments, if received) is forwarded to the dean of the college. In addition, the annual review letter becomes part of the cumulative dossier (along with the faculty member’s comments, if he or she chooses).

A recommendation for nonrenewal requires the approval of both the primary appointment TIU Head and the dean. The dean makes the final decision.

2 Appointment Renewal (Contract Renewal) for Research Faculty

In the penultimate contract year of a research faculty member's appointment, the TIU Head must determine whether the position held by the faculty member will continue. If the position will not continue, the faculty member is informed that the final contract year will be a terminal year of employment. The standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-08 must be observed. There is no presumption of contract renewal.

Probationary research faculty must undergo a review no later than the beginning of the penultimate year of their contract, so the unit may determine whether it is appropriate to renew that individual’s appointment for a new term. The review will follow the same procedures as the fourth-year review process for probationary tenure-track faculty as described above and concurrently with the probationary tenure-track faculty. External letters of evaluation are not solicited. The college dean has the final approval on the reappointment. Positive decisions will be approved by OAA without a review, and this decision is communicated to OAA using only the Record of Review for Promotion in Academic Rank/Tenure/Reappointment Form with no attachments. The Board of Trustees (BOT) has final approval, after which the faculty member is no longer probationary.
If the individual will not be renewed the faculty member should be so informed, subject to the relevant standards of notice set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-08.

Non-probationary research faculty must be informed as to whether the new appointment will be extended by the end of the penultimate year of the contract. The normal annual performance and merit review will serve as the basis for evaluation. The primary appointment TIU may request additional evidence to characterize the performance of the faculty member during their contract period, including from secondary appointment units as applicable. External letters of evaluation are not solicited. An initial decision from the TIU head to reappoint is final. A copy of the annual review, a draft renewal letter, the Record of Review for Promotion in Academic Rank/Tenure/Reappointment Form with no attachments, and a recommendation letter from the TIU head will be sent to the college for review. An initial decision not to reappoint requires a vote of a TIU standing committee and requires the concurrence of the dean. All reappointment decisions are at the discretion of the dean. There is no presumption of contract renewal.

F Associated Faculty

Compensated associated faculty members in their initial appointment must be reviewed before reappointment. The TIU head, or designee, prepares a written evaluation and meets with the faculty member to discuss his or her performance, future plans, and goals. There is no presumption of reappointment at the end of a contract period. If the position will not continue, the TIU head should inform the faculty member that there will be a non-renewal of employment.

If the position will continue, a formal performance review for reappointment is necessary to determine whether the faculty member will be offered a new contract. Documentation required in the annual review of an associated faculty member will be determined by the TIU. The TIU head, or designee, prepares a written evaluation and meets with the faculty member to discuss their performance, future plans, and goals. The TIU may require a vote of the eligible faculty. The TIU head recommendation on renewal of the appointment is final. If the recommendation is to renew, the TIU head may extend a multiple year appointment subject to the limitations discussed in Section IV.C.5.

Compensated associated faculty members on a multiple year appointment (or hired annually for multiple years) are reviewed annually by the TIU head or designee. The TIU head, or designee, prepares a written evaluation and meets with the faculty member to discuss his or her performance, future plans, and goals. No later than October 15 of the final year of the appointment, the TIU head will decide whether or not to reappoint. The TIU head’s recommendation on reappointment is final.

G Regional Campus Faculty

Columbus campus TIUs shall establish review procedures for their tenured regional campus faculty. Annual performance and merit review of a tenured faculty member is first conducted on the regional campus, with a focus on teaching and service. The review then moves to the TIU and proceeds as described above, including any relevant guidance for jointly appointed faculty. In the event of divergence in performance assessment between the regional campus and the TIU, the primary appointment TIU head discusses the matter with the regional campus dean/director in an effort to clarify and reconcile the divergence, so that the faculty member receives consistent assessment and advice. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, these discussions are to include the unit heads for all units to which the faculty member has been appointed.

Annual performance and merit review of the probationary tenure-track faculty member is first conducted on the regional campus, with a focus on teaching and service. The review then moves to the primary appointment TIU and proceeds as described above, including any relevant guidance for jointly appointed faculty. In the event of divergence in performance assessment between the regional campus and the TIU, the primary appointment TIU head discusses the matter with the regional campus dean/director in an effort to clarify and reconcile the
divergence, so that the faculty member receives consistent assessment and advice. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, these discussions are to include the unit heads for all units to which the faculty member has been appointed.

The annual performance and merit review of regional campus faculty of practice is conducted on the regional campus. The dean/director will provide the TIU head a copy of a faculty of practice member’s annual performance and merit review letter.

The annual performance and merit review of regional campus research faculty is conducted by the TIU and proceeds as described above for Columbus campus research faculty. The TIU head will provide the regional campus dean/director a copy of the faculty member’s annual performance and merit review letter.

The annual performance and merit review of regional campus associated faculty is conducted entirely on the regional campus.

**H Salary Recommendations**

TIU heads make annual salary recommendations to the dean, who may modify them. The recommendations are based on the current annual performance and merit review as well as on the performance and merit reviews of the preceding 24 months.

Each TIU document must describe the criteria, procedures, and documentation required for merit salary reviews and other rewards. It is the expectation of the college that merit salary increases and other rewards made by a TIU will be made consistent with that TIU’s APT document, and other relevant policies, procedures, practices, and standards established by: (1) the college, (2) the Faculty Rules, (3) the Office of Academic Affairs, and (4) the Office of Human Resources.

Faculty who fail to submit the required documentation (see Section V-A above) for an annual performance and merit review at the required time will receive no salary increase in the year for which documentation was not provided, except in extenuating circumstances, and may not expect to recoup the foregone raise at a later time.

**VI Promotion and Tenure and Promotion Reviews**

*Faculty Rule 3335-6-02(D)* provides the following context for promotion and tenure and promotion reviews:

*In evaluating the candidate’s qualifications in teaching, scholarship, and service, reasonable flexibility shall be exercised, balancing, where the case requires, heavier commitments and responsibilities in one area against lighter commitments and responsibilities in another. In addition, as the university enters new fields of endeavor, including interdisciplinary endeavors, and places new emphases on its continuing activities, instances will arise in which the proper work of faculty members may depart from established academic patterns. In such cases care must be taken to apply the criteria with sufficient flexibility. In all instances superior intellectual attainment, in accordance with the criteria set forth in these rules, is an essential qualification for promotion to tenured positions. Clearly, insistence upon this standard for continuing members of the faculty is necessary for maintenance and enhancement of the quality of the university as an institution dedicated to the discovery and transmission of knowledge.*

In accordance with *Faculty Rule 3335-6-02(D)*, each TIU must have an APT document that describes (1) the unit’s criteria for the award of tenure and promotion to the rank of associate professor, and (2) the unit’s criteria for promotion to the rank of professor. These documents must also include guidance on evaluation of jointly appointed faculty relative to these criteria. Each TIU desiring faculty of practice must, in addition, have in its APT document
Teaching activities include: 

- undergraduate, graduate, and professional courses taught in curricular and co-curricular settings; 
- involvement graduate exams, theses, and dissertations;
• promoting, coaching and mentoring undergraduate researchers;
• involvement in extension and continuing education;
• curriculum development;
• faculty and instructor professional development;
• evaluation and direction of student scholarship;
• academic advising;
• writing textbooks, monographs and other compilations of essential education resources, including online
teaching resources;
• advising of student groups and organizations;
• participation in student affairs programs and student services;
• engaging in the Scholarship of Teaching (SoTL).

Novel teaching methods including development of electronic and other forms of educational interactions with
students inside and outside the traditional classroom environment are encouraged.

Evidence of effective teaching can include:

• student, peer, supervisor and external evaluations of teaching in the classroom;
• awards and formal recognition for teaching;
• evaluation of performance as an advisor and mentor;
• number, level, complexity and size of courses taught;
• development of novel interdisciplinary courses;
• exit interviews with graduating seniors;
• alumni surveys;
• quality of textbooks, monographs, electronic resources and other publications on education in the
candidate’s field;
• number of completed Master’s theses or Ph.D. dissertations;
• number and quality of undergraduate researchers advised;
• number and quality of jointly authored publications with graduate or undergraduate students;
• impact of course and/or curriculum development; and/or
• effective teaching innovations.

The evaluation of a candidate’s teaching should be accomplished within a systematic and comparative evaluation
process that includes all faculty within the TIUs in which the candidate has taught.

Faculty are expected to have:

• Provided up-to-date content at an appropriate level in every instructional situation and demonstrated
continuing growth in subject matter knowledge.
• Demonstrated the ability to organize and present class material effectively with logic, conviction, and
enthusiasm.
• Demonstrated appropriate use of various modes of instruction, classroom technology, and other teaching
strategies to create an optimal learning environment.
• Engaged students actively in the learning process and encouraged independent thought, creativity, and
appreciation of the knowledge creation process.
• Provided appropriate and timely feedback to students throughout the instructional process.
• Treated students with respect and courtesy.
• Improved curriculum through revision or new development of courses and/or academic programs.
• Developed interdisciplinary courses across multiple departments, schools, units, and colleges in the case of
jointly appointed faculty.
• Served as mentor to an appropriate number of graduate or undergraduate students given the TIU’s graduate student/faculty ratio and the faculty member's area(s) of expertise.
• Assisted graduate students in the production of high-quality published work.
• Engaged in documentable efforts to improve teaching.

Scholarship is broadly defined to include discovery, scholarly and creative work, applied research, the scholarship of pedagogy, and engaged scholarship. More specifically, scholarship may be defined to include the possession, application, and advancement of a body of knowledge gained through research, study, and learning.

Scholarly activities will be specific to the TIU or units to which a candidate has been appointed and their field of endeavor, and may include, for example:
• publishing scholarly works such as books and monographs, chapters in edited books, bulletins and technical reports, peer reviewed journal articles, editor reviewed journal articles, reviews and abstracts, papers in proceedings;
• presenting lectures at universities, symposia, and conferences;
• submitting proposals;
• conducting and directing original research or other creative activities;
• editing books, and collections of research works;
• developing software;
• producing peer reviewed creative works in exhibits, symposia, publication, and juried competitions;
• designing and/or supervising the construction of creative products (e.g., new building, alloy, machine, device, or software);
• developing and securing intellectual property such as patents, patent disclosures and licensing of university-developed intellectual property;
• commercializing intellectual property through innovation and entrepreneurial activities such as entity creation;
• engaging in reciprocal partnership with the community, involving mutually beneficial exchanges of knowledge and the creation, delivery and assessment of timely, unbiased, educational materials and programs that address relevant, critical and emerging issues. Engaged scholarship should empower people in ways that result in desired outcomes, informed decisions and/or improved quality of life.

Evidence of scholarship will be specific to the TIU or units to which a candidate has been appointed, and may include the quantity, quality, and impact of the aforementioned activities, for example:
• numbers of publications and citation analysis thereto in the context of the publishing landscape of the TIU discipline, numbers of presentations and invited lectures;
• amount of research funding in the context of the funding landscape of each TIU discipline;
• placing in juried competitions; number of patents, licenses and licensing revenue, awards, prizes, and other forms of professional recognition;
• a record of engaged-scholarship-related publications and evidence of national/international visibility, demonstrating innovation and creativity when developing and delivering programs, products and services that promote informed decisions and/or improve quality of life;
• letters of evaluation by peers at the national and international level.

In the case of jointly appointed faculty, care must be taken to consider impacts across multiple fields. This is particularly important in cases where the research focus may deviate from what would be considered conventional work for the primary appointment TIU and may require evaluations from referees outside of the primary appointment discipline.

Candidates are expected to have produced coherent body of scholarship that has made a distinct contribution to the discipline, is gaining national or international recognition, and promises continued growth. Scholarship must
always find a public venue, although the character and status of these venues will vary according to TIU.

Collaborative work and research funding are also encouraged. Here, too, specific requirements will vary according to TIU’s, and appropriate flexibility must be exercised in the case of jointly appointed faculty. The following attributes of the body of work are considered:

- Quality, impact, quantity
- Unique contribution to a line of inquiry
- Rigor of the peer-review process and degree of dissemination
- Collaborative work is strongly encouraged, and indeed is essential to most types of inquiry. In this case, the candidate’s intellectual contributions to collaborative work must be clearly and fairly described to permit accurate assessment. In the assessment of collaborative work that has led to research productivity, there shall be no evaluative bias against the number of collaborators or co-authors of publications, proposals, projects or other tangible products of the work. Because of the synergism that often results from collaborative work and because of the unique capabilities that individual contributors bring to a team, an assessment of contribution based solely on a linear fractionation of contribution among collaborators can be misleading and inappropriate, and a more holistic assessment of the candidate’s contribution must be made.
- A demonstrated ability to obtain and potential to sustain research program funding, in disciplines where it is appropriate. Research funding is a means to an end; funding that has not led to research productivity is a negative indicator. There shall be no evaluative bias against any source of research funding if it has led to research productivity. A developing national/international reputation in the candidate’s field as evidenced by external evaluations, invitations to present at recognized prestigious forums, invitations to review research papers and grant proposals, and a beginning trend of positive citations in other researchers’ publications. A reputation based on the quality of the research contribution is distinguished from one based mainly on familiarity through the faculty member’s frequent attendance at national and international conferences.
- Demonstrated a vision for how their individual area of scholarly excellence contributes to advancing the research strategy of the TIU, the college and the university. In the case of jointly appointed faculty, this vision should include considerations of the research strategies of the TIUs to which the candidate has been appointed.
- Additional criteria can be important in documenting the Scholarship of Engagement. For example, the quantity, strength and impact on stakeholders can take a variety of forms such as the enactment of related legislation, adoption of innovations and/or widespread changes in professional practice. Publications that translate research for practitioners, entrepreneurs, business/industry leaders and/or policy makers are valued in the Scholarship of Engagement.
- Demonstrated an understanding of how their own areas of scholarly expertise benefit from diversity among faculty, staff and students.
- Demonstrated a high degree of ethics in scholarship including, but not limited to, full and timely adherence to all regulations relevant to the research program, and ethical treatment of graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, and collaborators and in the dissemination of scholarship.

Service, or public service as stated in the mission of the University, is broadly defined to include administrative service to the University, professional service to the faculty member’s discipline, and the provision of disciplinary expertise to public or private entities beyond the university (Faculty Rule 3335-6-02(A)).

Evidence of administrative service to the University can include:
- appointment or election to TIU, College, and/or University committees;
- administrative positions held and superior organizational leadership;
- affirmative action and mentoring activities.

Evidence of professional service to the faculty member’s discipline can include:
- editorships of or service as a reviewer for journals or other learned publications;
Evidence of the provision of expertise to public and private entities beyond the University includes:

- reviewer of proposals;
- external examiner;
- service on panels and commissions;
- professional consultation to industry, government, and education.

Professional expertise provided as a compensated outside professional service alone is insufficient to satisfy the service criterion.

Faculty are expected to have:

- Made contributions to the governance and advancement of the department/school in a collegial manner that facilitates positive contributions by others, and
- Made useful contributions to the College, the University, industry, and/or civic community,
- Made useful contributions to the profession.

The quality and quantity of service and its importance relative to teaching and scholarship is evaluated in the context of the individual faculty member’s distribution of effort. For candidates who duties are mainly administrative in nature, superior administrative service that clearly enhances the effectiveness of the institution may be a primary and leading professional contribution that should be highly valued.

1. **Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure**

Promotion to associate professor with tenure in the College of Engineering requires excellence in both scholarship and teaching, where scholarship is defined as research, scholarly, and/or creative work. The promise of excellence in service is desirable.

Excellence in scholarship means attainment of measurable national or international recognition based on an appropriate amount and rate of high-quality published research and/or other relevant creative endeavors. A successful candidate will have an emerging national reputation. When assessing a candidate’s national and international reputation in the field, activities in outreach and engagement should be valued in addition to more traditional scholarly and teaching activities. Excellence in teaching means the provision to all students of the opportunity to realize their full capabilities for learning and, to the most capable and motivated students, an enhanced learning experience. Excellence in service means the provision of a high level of professional expertise and experience to the University, the community, the state and nation, and professional organizations. The service contribution during the probationary period of assistant professors is limited by design. The most important judgment is that the candidate will achieve excellence in service in the future.

The substantial probability that a high rate of quality scholarship and/or creative activity and excellence in teaching and service will continue needs to be established. The claim that retention of the candidate will improve the overall quality and standing of the unit needs to be supported.

Excellence in teaching, research and/or creative activity, and service is moreover defined to include professional ethical conduct in each area of responsibility, consistent with the American Association of University Professors' *Statement on Professional Ethics*. 
In the evaluation of untenured associate professors for tenure, the same criteria apply, along with any others established in writing at the time a senior rank appointment without tenure was offered.

2 Promotion to Professor

All tenure track faculty must be engaged in teaching, developing academic programs in the TIU and College, mentoring students, developing a record of scholarship, of research and creative inquiry, and serving both on campus and off, thereby demonstrating a commitment to citizenship and collegiality.

Faculty Rule 3335-6-02 establishes the following general criteria for promotion to the rank of professor:

*Promotion to the rank of professor must be based on convincing evidence that the faculty member has a sustained record of excellence in teaching; has produced a significant body of scholarship that is recognized nationally or internationally; and has demonstrated leadership in service.*

The specific criteria in teaching, scholarship, and service for promotion to professor are similar to those for promotion to associate professor with tenure, with the added expectation of sustained accomplishment and quality of contributions, a record of continuing professional growth, and evidence of established national or international reputation in the field, using evidence outlined in Section VIA1. When assessing a candidate’s accomplishments, quality of contributions, and national and international reputation in the field, activities in outreach and engagement will be valued in addition to scholarly, teaching, and service activities.

When assessing a candidate’s national and international reputation in the field, a national and international reputation for the scholarship of teaching may be counted as either teaching or scholarship.

In addition, as further specified by Faculty Rule 3335-6-02, assessment is in relation to specific assigned responsibilities with reasonable flexibility being exercised in order to balance, where the case requires, heavier responsibilities and commitment in one area against lighter ones in another. Promotion should reflect the reality that (a) not all faculty members have the same distribution of assignments (b) not all faculty members will be able to contribute excellence equally in all evaluation dimensions; and (c) there is a multi-faceted institutional responsibility that must be achieved by the skills of the faculty collectively. Promotion to professor should be awarded not only to those faculty who have demonstrated impact in their scholarship of research and creative inquiry, teaching and learning, and service, but also to those who have exhibited excellence in the scholarship of leadership to make visible and demonstrable impact upon the mission of the TIU, college and university.

3 Faculty of Practice

Faculty of Practice vary considerably in their roles in the college, entirely in keeping with enhancing the teaching mission of the University and the College of Engineering. University Faculty Rules (3335-7-01) specify that:

*These faculty are teacher/practitioners and shall be engaged primarily in teaching activities related to: a) courses or instructional situations involving live patients or live clients, b) courses or instructional situations involving the simulation of live patients or live clients, c) courses or instructional situations involving professional skills, or d) teaching.*

Teaching, as defined in the University Faculty Rules (3335-6-02(A)(2)), includes, “didactic classroom, non-classroom and distance instruction, extension and continuing education, advising, and supervising or mentoring students or postdoctoral scholars.” Within these parameters, practice faculty develop a path to promotion in keeping with their unique skills and contributions to the mission of the college and University. Examples of these possible paths include: primary engagement in classroom teaching, in undergraduate research mentoring, relationships with industry to enhance problem-based and entrepreneurially minded learning, leadership in
academic program development, engaged scholarship with external communities, and engagement with professional practice.

Promotion to Professional Practice Associate Professor in the College of Engineering requires that a faculty member show convincing evidence of excellence as a teacher and a provider of effective service; have a documented high level of competence in professional practice; and display the potential for continuing a program of high-quality teaching and service relevant to the mission of the TIU. Specific criteria in teaching and service for promotion to associate professor of practice are similar to those for promotion to associate professor with tenure. If scholarship activity is required, this must be noted.

Promotion to Professional Practice Professor in the College of Engineering requires that a faculty member have a record of continuing professional growth and increasing quality of contributions, including a sustained record of excellence in teaching and professional practice; a sustained record of mentoring students, continued contribution to the outreach and engagement mission of the TIU, College, and University that is recognized at the national and/or international level, proven leadership in service, professional practice, and/or teaching at the national and/or international level and production and dissemination of scholarly materials pertinent to pedagogy and/or professional practice.

4 Research Faculty

Promotion to Research Associate Professor in the College of Engineering requires excellence in scholarship. There is an expectation of a record of significant external support for research and a substantial probability that external support for research will continue along with student research mentoring. The claim that retention of the candidate will improve the overall scholarly quality and standing of the unit needs to be supported.

Promotion to Research Professor in the College of Engineering requires excellence in scholarship. There is an established record of significant external support for research and a substantial probability that external support for research will continue. Faculty must have mentored graduate students to develop their research capabilities and demonstrated leadership in service relevant to the mission of the candidate’s TIU. The claim that promotion of the candidate will improve the overall scholarly quality and standing of the unit needs to be supported.

5 Associated Faculty

Promotion to Adjunct Associate Professor and Adjunct Professor. The relevant criteria for the promotion of adjunct faculty members shall be the same as those for the promotion of tenure-track, professional practice, or research faculty above.

Promotion to Associate Professor and Professor with FTE below 50%. The relevant criteria for the promotion of associated faculty members with tenure-track titles are those for the promotion of tenure-track faculty above.

Promotion to Senior Lecturer. Lecturers may be promoted to senior lecturer if they meet the criteria for appointment at that rank as described in Section IV.A.5.

Promotion of Visiting Faculty. Visiting faculty members are not eligible for promotion.

6 Regional Campus Faculty

The primary function of the regional campuses is to provide high-quality undergraduate instruction and to serve the academic needs of their communities. With this consideration in mind, in evaluating regional campus tenure-track faculty for promotion and tenure or promotion, TIUs will give greater emphasis to the quality of teaching and service relative to scholarship. Recognizing that the character and quantity of scholarship by regional campus
faculty may differ from that of Columbus campus faculty, due to the weight of other responsibilities and lack of access to comparable resources, a TIU will nevertheless expect regional campus faculty to establish a program of high-quality scholarly activity.

In evaluating regional campus practice faculty, research faculty, and associated faculty for promotion, TIUs will use the same criteria as described above for the promotion of faculty in each of these categories.

B Procedures

The college's procedures for promotion and tenure and promotion reviews are fully consistent with those set forth in Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 and the Office Academic Affairs annually updated procedural guidelines for promotion and tenure reviews found in Volume 3 of the Policies and Procedures Handbook. The following sections, which state the responsibilities of each party to the review process, apply to all faculty members and all TIUs in the college.

1 Tenure-Track, Practice, and Research Faculty

a Candidate Responsibilities

Candidates for promotion and tenure or promotion within the College of Engineering are responsible for submitting a complete, accurate dossier and providing a copy of the APT under which they wish to be reviewed. If external evaluations are required, candidates are responsible for reviewing the list of potential external evaluators compiled for their case according to their TIU’s guidelines. Each of these elements is described in detail below.

• Dossier

Every candidate must submit a complete and accurate dossier that follows the Office of Academic Affairs dossier outline. Candidates should not sign the Office of Academic Affairs Candidate Checklist without ascertaining that they have fully met the requirements set forth in the Office of Academic Affairs core dossier outline including, but not limited to, those highlighted on the checklist.

While a TIU’s Promotion and Tenure Committee (or Eligible Faculty) will make reasonable efforts to check the dossier for accuracy and completeness, the candidate bears full responsibility for all parts of the dossier that are to be completed by him or her.

TIUs will require unit-appropriate documentation. It is the responsibility of the TIU to evaluate and verify this documentation.

The time period for teaching documentation to be included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the start date to present. For tenured or non-probationary faculty it is the date of last promotion or the last five years, whichever is less, to present. Examples of documentation include:

• cumulative eSEI reports (Student Evaluation of Instruction computer-generated summaries prepared by the Office of the University Registrar) for every class.
• a year-by-year summary of the eSEI reports (both quantitative and narrative components) prepared by a faculty member other than the candidate.
• peer evaluation of teaching reports as required by the TIU’s peer evaluation of teaching program (details, including number, provided in Section IX below).
• Copies of pedagogical papers, books or other materials published, or accepted for publication. Material accepted for publication but not yet published must be accompanied by a letter from the publisher
stating that the work has been unequivocally accepted and is in final form with no further revisions needed.

- teaching activities as listed in the core dossier including:
  - involvement in graduate/professional exams, theses, and dissertations, and undergraduate research
  - mentoring postdoctoral scholars and researchers
  - extension and continuing education instruction
  - involvement in curriculum development
  - awards and formal recognition of teaching
  - presentations on pedagogy and teaching at national and international conferences
  - adoption of teaching materials at other colleges or universities.

- other relevant documentation of teaching as appropriate.

The time period for scholarship documentation to be included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the start date to present. For tenured or non-probationary faculty it is the date of last promotion to present. All scholarship outcomes will be reviewed for increasing independence over time. There should also be an increasing trajectory of significant scholarly outcomes over time. Examples of documentation include:

- Copies of all books, articles, and scholarly papers published or accepted for publication. Papers accepted for publication but not yet published must be accompanied by a letter from the publisher stating that the paper has been unequivocally accepted and is in final form, with no further revisions needed.
- documentation of grants and contracts received
- other relevant documentation of research as appropriate (published reviews including publications where one's work is favorably cited, grants and contract proposals that have been submitted)
- scholarship activities as listed in the core dossier including
  - documentation of creative works pertinent to the candidate’s professional focus including artwork, choreography, collections, compositions, curated exhibits, moving images, multimedia, performances, radio, recitals, recordings, television, and websites
  - documentation of inventions, patents, disclosures, options and commercial licenses
  - list of prizes and awards for research, scholarly, or creative work

The time period for service documentation to be included in the dossier for probationary faculty is the start date to present. For tenured or non-probationary faculty it is the date of last promotion to present. Examples of documentation include:

- service activities as listed in the core dossier including
  - involvement with professional journals and professional societies
  - consultation activity with industry, education, or government
  - clinical services
  - administrative service to TIU
  - administrative service to college
  - administrative service to university and Student Life
  - advising to student groups and organizations
  - informal student advising
  - awards and prizes for service to profession, university, or TIU.

- any available documentation (e.g. letters from committee Chairs) of the quality of service that enhances the list of service activities in the dossier.
The complete dossier is forwarded when the review moves beyond the TIU. The documentation of teaching is forwarded along with the dossier. The documentation of scholarship and service is for use during the TIU review only, unless reviewers at the college and university levels specifically request it.

- **Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure (APT)**

Candidates must also submit a copy of the APT under which they wish to be reviewed. Candidates may submit their TIU’s current APT document; or, alternatively, they may elect to be reviewed under either (a) the APT document that was in effect on their start date, or (b) the APT document that was in effect on the date of their last promotion, whichever of these two latter documents is the more recent. However, the current APT document must be used if the letter of offer or last promotion, whichever is more recent, was more than 10 years before April 1 of the review year. The APT document must be submitted when the dossier is submitted to the TIU.

- **External Evaluations** (see also External evaluations below)

- If external evaluations are required, candidates are responsible for reviewing the list of potential external evaluators developed according to their TIU’s guidelines. The candidate may add no more than three additional names, but is not required to do so. The candidate may request the removal of no more than two names, providing the reasons for the request. The TIU head decides whether removal is justified.

**b** **TIU Eligible Faculty Responsibilities**

For TIUs within the College of Engineering, the responsibilities of the members of the eligible faculty during promotion and tenure reviews are as follows:

- To review thoroughly and objectively every candidate’s dossier in advance of the meeting at which the candidate’s case will be discussed.
- To attend all eligible faculty meetings except when circumstances beyond one’s control prevent attendance; to participate in discussion of every case; and to vote.

**c** **TIU Head Responsibilities**

The responsibilities of Department Chairs and School Director in the College of Engineering during promotion and tenure reviews are as follows:

- To determine whether a candidate is authorized to work in the United States and whether a candidate now, or in the future, will require sponsorship for an employment visa or immigration status. (A TIU must ensure that such questions are asked of all applicants in a non-discriminatory manner.)
- **Late Spring Semester:** To solicit external evaluations from a list including names suggested by the Promotion and Tenure Committee, the Department Chair/School Director, and the candidate. (Also see External Evaluations below.)
- To solicit an evaluation from a TIU head of any TIU in which the candidate has a joint appointment. In the case of Discovery Theme Faculty the joint unit is the Discovery Theme Program that supported the hire of the candidate. This letter should be solicited prior to review of the dossier by the eligible faculty and assesses the performance of the faculty member with regard to the joint appointment.
• To make each candidate's dossier available in an accessible place for review by the eligible faculty at least two weeks before the meeting at which specific cases are to be discussed and voted.

• To remove any member of the eligible faculty from the review of a candidate when the member has a conflict of interest but does not voluntarily withdraw from the review.

• To attend the meetings of the eligible faculty at which promotion and tenure matters are discussed and respond to questions that are raised during the meeting. At the request of the eligible faculty, a TIU Head will leave the meeting to allow open discussion among the eligible faculty members.

• **Mid-Autumn Semester:** To provide for each candidate an independent written evaluation, an assessment of their strengths and weaknesses, and a recommendation, following receipt of the eligible faculty's completed evaluation and recommendation. For jointly appointed faculty, this should include a consideration of their joint appointment, including Discovery Theme appointments.

• To meet with the eligible faculty to explain any recommendations contrary to the recommendation of the committee.

• To inform each candidate in writing after completion of the unit review process (1) of the recommendations by the eligible faculty and unit head; (2) of the availability for review of the written evaluations by the eligible faculty and unit head and (3) of the opportunity to submit written comments on the above material, within ten days from receipt of the letter from the unit head for inclusion in the dossier. The letter is accompanied by a form that the candidate returns to the unit head, indicating whether or not he or she expects to submit comments.

• To provide a written response to any candidate comments that warrant response for inclusion in the dossier.

• To forward the completed dossier to the college office by that office's deadline, except in the case of associated faculty for whom the TIU Head recommends against promotion. A negative recommendation by the unit head is final in such cases.

• When not the TIU for a joint appointment, to receive the Promotion and Tenure Committee's written evaluation and recommendation of candidates who are joint appointees from other tenure initiating units, and to forward this material, along with the unit head's independent written evaluation and recommendation, to the head of the other tenure initiating unit by the date requested.

**d  TIU Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities**

The responsibilities of the TIU Promotion and Tenure Committee are as follows (when different than the committee of eligible faculty):

• Review its APT document annually and to recommend proposed revisions to the faculty.

• Provide objective assessment of candidates’ progress based on information provided by all TIUs to which the candidate has been appointed, taking into consideration annual review letters involving a jointly hired candidate’s expectations for performance, including Discovery Theme candidates.

• Ensure that the TIU P&T Committee (of the primary appointment TIU in the case of jointly appointed faculty) explains and addresses dissenting votes in their report on the candidate, as well as summarizing and addressing all TIU eligible faculty comments.
Transmit the completed dossier to Engineering Administration.

### College of Engineering Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities

The College of Engineering Promotion and Tenure Committee is composed of at least twelve tenure track faculty at the rank of Professor appointed by the Dean, each serving a three-year term. Tenure track appointees must be members of the Graduate faculty and hold a 50% or more appointment in a TIU of the college. Up to an additional two senior members from the practice faculty may be appointed by the Dean, each serving a three-year term, to assess practice faculty candidates only. Up to an additional two senior members from the research faculty may be appointed by the Dean, each serving a three-year term, to assess research faculty candidates only. The Knowlton School may nominate a member each year and an alternate to facilitate equitable assessment of the School’s unique disciplines. Each year, two-thirds (8) tenure track faculty members will remain on the P&T Committee for the following year. One of these shall be appointed by the Dean in spring to serve as P&T Committee Chair the following year. With the exception of the associate dean for faculty affairs who will facilitate committee’s tasks and serve as an ex-officio member of the committee, no administrative appointees are eligible to serve as committee members.

- Once the committee is constituted, the committee chair will appoint a Procedures Oversight Designee (POD) or PODs for each case and at least one primary reader and one secondary reader for each case. It is expected that all panel members have examined all OAA-approved dossiers being discussed. A draft of the report outlining the case may be prepared by the primary reader in advance and serve as the basis for the discussion of each case.

- The committee will review the materials provided by the TIUs for promotion and tenure consideration. These will be prepared in a manner spelled out by the TIU’s Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure: Criteria and Procedures document. The committee will (1) will assess the process used to evaluate candidates based upon the College APT document and the primary appointment TIU APT document, which must have been approved previously by the College and OAA; (2) Review and evaluate the dossier, consistent with the Committee purposes described in the College’s Pattern of Administration; and (3) Recommend to the Dean a promotion and/or tenure action based upon evaluation of qualifications, performance and accomplishment of the candidate and considering comparable achievements in the candidate’s discipline.

- Once materials are submitted to the College for review, with the exception of procedural errors of the availability of significant new information, no further consultation with TIU heads or committees on substantive matters should take place. This assures that the levels of review are independent.

- Committee members from a candidate’s TIU will be ineligible to participate in any discussion of the case, including procedures, policies, or culture of the TIU. The only exception is in the case of the Knowlton School, where a committee member from a different section than the candidate may participate in the discussion but not vote. Only the dossier material should be discussed and evaluated.

- Should questions arise with respect to procedural errors (those that reasonably could have affected the outcome), they should be addressed before the review continues. The error should be corrected at the level of the review at which it occurred. The case should be fully reconsidered from that point on. If internal letters have been generated at that level of review and beyond, they should be saved but not included in the dossier. The new written evaluations should note that reconsideration took place because of a procedural error and state the nature of that error. The comments process must be repeated for the new internal letters.
• Should there be significant new information, the record may be amended; however, all parties to the review process must review an amended record. If the information becomes available after a case has left the TIU, the college committee may return the case to the TIU.

• The committee chair (or co-chair) will preside over the relevant committee’s discussion of each case. The criteria to be applied are outlined above and require excellence in scholarship, teaching, and service. The chair or co-chair will be responsible for preparing the written report of the committee’s assessment and vote. The committee should determine the voting procedure that is to be applied consistently to all cases that are considered by the committee during a single academic year. If it is believed to be useful, an anonymous straw poll may be taken before the final vote on a particular case. New discussion should ensure if the results of such a straw poll do not reflect the sense of the previous discussion and the written report of the committee’s assessment. Only “yes” and “no” votes on the recommendation to tenure and/or promote candidates are allowable in the final vote; abstentions are not allowed among committee members. The final vote will be communicated to the committee members and efforts will be made by the committee chair to ensure that the committee report is consistent with the final vote that is to be reported. Committee recommendations shall be in writing to the Dean and report the vote of the Committee on the matter deliberated by the Committee.

• After the college committee completes its work, the chair shall advise the Dean on tenure and promotion for each candidate. Following that consultation, the Dean shall make a final recommendation in writing to the Executive Vice President and Provost.

Fourth-year reviews will follow the above procedures with one exception. The final decision with respect to reappointment will rest with the dean. There is no comments process following the final decision.

f College Dean Responsibilities

• Upon the receipt of a dossier from a TIU on a candidate for promotion and/or tenure, the Dean will submit the dossier to the College Promotion and Tenure Committee for review.

• The Dean will consider the recommendations of the Committee. The college dean’s decision shall be final with respect to reappointment and nonreappointment. Decisions with respect to promotion for tenure-track, practice, and research faculty shall be forwarded to the executive vice president and provost, who shall review the decision consistent with review procedures set forth in Faculty Rules 3335-6-03 and 3335-6-04. Any decision of the executive vice president and provost shall be final.

• Once the dean completes their letter to the Executive Vice President and Provost, the Dean will inform the candidate and the TIU head of the completion of the college-level review and of the availability of the reports. The candidate and TIU head will be provided with copies of those reports. University rules and OAA guidelines regarding the comments process will then be followed.

• When a promotion and tenure decision is negative, the Dean must advise the candidate of his or her right to appeal and also of his or her final date of employment under the seven-year rule (if applicable).

2 Procedures for Associated Faculty

Adjunct faculty, associated faculty with tenure-track titles, and associated practice faculty for whom promotion is a possibility follow the promotion guidelines and procedures detailed in Section VI.B above, with the exception that the review does not proceed to the college level if the TIU head’s recommendation is negative, and does not proceed to the executive vice president and provost if the dean's recommendation
is negative. Positive recommendations from deans in colleges with TIUs likewise do not proceed to the executive vice president and provost.

3 Procedures for Regional Campus Faculty

Regional campus tenure track faculty are first reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus Dean/director. The regional campus review focuses on teaching and service. The regional campus Dean/director forwards the written evaluation and recommendation of the regional campus review to the TIU Head, from which point the review follows the procedures described for the Columbus campus faculty. A request to promote requires agreement by the dean/director and the TIU head.

Regional campus practice faculty are reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean/director. Following the review, the dean/director consults with the faculty member’s TIU head. A request to promote follows the same procedures as tenure-track faculty except that external letters are not needed unless scholarship is a component of the assigned role.

The review of regional campus research faculty takes place on the Columbus campus and follows the same procedures as those described above for Columbus campus research faculty. Following the review, the TIU head will consult with the regional campus dean/director. A request to promote requires agreement by the regional campus dean/director and the TIU head.

Associated faculty are reviewed by the regional campus faculty according to the process established on that campus and then by the regional campus dean/director. The decision of the regional campus dean/director is final.

4 External Evaluations—Tenure-track, Practice, and Research and Adjunct Faculty

External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are obtained for all promotion reviews in which scholarship of tenure track and research faculty must be assessed. These include all tenure track promotion and tenure or promotion reviews, all research appointment contract renewals and promotion reviews, and all adjunct faculty promotion reviews. External evaluations of scholarly activity and research are not obtained for practice faculty unless the faculty member has been involved in a significant amount of scholarship. The decision to seek external evaluations of the scholarship of a practice or associated faculty member will be made by the TIU head after consulting with the candidate and the chair of the Promotion and Tenure (or Eligible Faculty) Committee.

Evaluations that assess the quality and impact of the teaching and service of professional practice faculty candidates under consideration for promotion are to be obtained. The source and content of evaluations for faculty of practice promotion candidates should reflect the contributions expected of faculty of practice members. Evaluations should address the extent and quality of teaching as characterized by internal and external evaluations of instruction and the quality of contributions through outreach and engagement with industry, the educational community and the broad community of practitioners as appropriate for the individual under review. Evaluations should also address the extent and quality of professional service to the TIU, College and University. Evaluations of practice faculty should derive from external authoritative and reputable sources qualified to comment substantively on the contributions and accomplishments of the faculty member. Examples of evidence for and balance among the areas of contribution are to be determined by each TIU approved for faculty of practice and described in the unit APT document.

A minimum of five credible and useful external evaluations must be obtained. A credible and useful evaluation has the following two characteristics:
• Is written by a person who is a non-Ohio-State employee and who is highly qualified to judge the
candidate’s scholarship (or other performance, if relevant), who is not a close personal friend, research
collaborator, former academic advisor or post-doctoral mentor of the candidate. Qualifications are
generally judged on basis of the evaluator’s expertise, record of accomplishments, and institutional
affiliation. TIUs will solicit evaluations only from distinguished evaluators--professors at full rank at
institutions comparable to Ohio State or non-academics who have similar credentials and experience.
For example, an evaluator could be a top person from industry or another type of institution, so long as
the “distinguished” criterion is met. The biographical information that TIUs provide on the cover sheet
for external evaluations should provide a compelling and explicit justification of the appropriateness of
each evaluator. In the case of an assistant professor seeking promotion to associate professor with
tenure, a minority of the evaluations may come from distinguished associate professors. For
consideration of an associate professor seeking promotion to the rank of professor, all of the
evaluations must be from a professor or equivalent (in the case of an evaluator from another type of
institution or industry).

• Provides enough analysis of the candidate’s performance to add information to the review. A letter’s
usefulness is defined as the extent to which the letter is analytical as opposed to perfunctory. Under no
circumstances will “usefulness” be defined by the perspective taken by an evaluator on the merits of
the case.

As described above, a list of potential evaluators is assembled by the Promotion and Tenure Committee (or
Eligible Faculty), the TIU head, and the candidate. In cases of jointly appointed faculty, additional
evaluators may be suggested by the Department Chair of the secondary appointment TIU as appropriate. If
the evaluators suggested by the candidate meet the criteria for credibility, a letter is requested from at least
one of those persons. Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 requires that no more than half the external evaluation letters
in the dossier be written by persons suggested by the candidate. In the event that the person(s) suggested
by the candidate do not agree to write, neither the Office of Academic Affairs nor TIUs in this college
require that the dossier contain letters from evaluators suggested by the candidate.

TIUs will follow the Office of Academic Affairs suggested format for letters requesting external
evaluations. A sample letter for tenure-track faculty can be found here. A sample letter for practice faculty
can be found here.

Since a TIU cannot control who agrees to write and or the usefulness of the letters received, more letters
are sought than are required, and they are solicited no later than the end of the spring semester prior to the
review year. This timing allows additional letters to be requested should fewer than five useful letters
result from the first round of requests.

Under no circumstances may a candidate solicit evaluations or initiate contact in any way with evaluators
for any purpose related to the promotion review. If an evaluator should initiate contact with the candidate
regarding the review, the candidate must inform the evaluator that such communication is inappropriate
and report the occurrence to the TIU head, who will decide what, if any, action is warranted (requesting
permission from the Office of Academic Affairs to exclude that letter from the dossier). It is in the
candidate’s self-interest to assure that there is no ethical or procedural lapse, or the appearance of such a
lapse, in the course of the review process.

All solicited evaluation letters that are received must be included in the dossier. If concerns arise about any
of the letters received, these concerns may be addressed in the TIU’s written evaluations or brought to the
attention of the Office of Academic Affairs for advice.
VII Appeals

Faculty Rule 3335-6-05 sets forth general criteria for appeals of negative promotion and tenure decisions. Appeals alleging improper evaluation are described in Faculty Rule 3335-5-05.

Disagreement with a negative decision is not grounds for appeal. In pursuing an appeal, the faculty member is required to document the failure of one or more parties to the review process to follow written policies and procedures.

VIII Seventh-Year Reviews

Faculty Rule 3335-6-05 sets forth the conditions of and procedures for a Seventh Year Review for a faculty member denied tenure as a result of a sixth year (mandatory tenure) review.

IX Procedures for Student and Peer Evaluation of Teaching

A Student Evaluation of Teaching

Use of the electronic Student Evaluation of Instruction (eSEI) including collection of open-ended narrative comments is required in every course offered in this college. Faculty members should choose a day late in the semester when attendance is likely to be high if s/he is going to provide in-class time for students to complete the evaluation using a mobile application. The faculty member must leave the classroom during the time allotted for completing the evaluation. The faculty member should reiterate to students that the feedback provided in the evaluations is used both for performance reviews and to provide feedback that can be considered in future teaching.

B Peer Evaluation of Teaching

The TIU Head of the TIU in which a particular course is taught oversees that TIU’s peer evaluation of teaching process.

Annually the TIU Head appoints a Peer Review of Teaching Committee of a size judged to meet the volume of peer-review expected that year, without overburdening any of the members. The term of service is one year, with reappointment possible. Reasonable efforts are made to distribute service among the tenured faculty from year to year in order to support and encourage attention to the quality of teaching in the unit. Although there is no presumption that a peer reviewer must be of equal or higher rank than the faculty member being reviewed, such a model will be followed to the extent possible.

The Responsibilities of the Peer Review of Teaching Committee are as follows:

- to review the teaching of probationary tenure track and faculty of practice at least once per year during the first two years of service, and at least twice more before the commencement of the mandatory tenure review, with the goal of assessing teaching at all the levels of instruction to which the faculty member is assigned
- to review the teaching of tenured associate professors and non-probationary associate professors of practice at least once every other year, with the goal of having at least two peer reviews of teaching before the commencement of a promotion review
- to review the teaching of tenured professors and non-probationary professors of practice at least once every four years with the goal of assessing teaching at all the levels of instruction to which the faculty member is assigned during the year of the review
• to review, upon TIU Head request, the teaching of any faculty member not currently scheduled for review. Such reviews are normally triggered by low or declining student evaluations or other evidence of the need for assistance in improving teaching.

• To review the teaching of a faculty member not currently scheduled for review, upon that individual’s request, to the extent that time permits. Reviews conducted at the request of the faculty member are considered formative only. The TIU Head is informed that the review took place, but the report is given only to the faculty member who requested the review. Faculty seeking formative reviews should also seek the services of the Drake Institute for Teaching and Learning.

Reviews conducted upon the request of the Department Chair/School Director or the faculty member focus on the specific aspects of instruction requested by the Chair or faculty member and may or may not include class visitations.

Regularly scheduled peer teaching evaluations (the first three situations listed above) are comprehensive and should include, in addition to class visitation, review of course syllabi and related instruction materials. In the case of peer review for the purposes of promotion and tenure reviews, the class visitation is conducted by one or more senior peers whom the promotion and tenure Chair has identified in consultation with the candidate. The peer reviewer should meet with the candidate to establish a time for the visit and to understand the goals of the course and the candidate's teaching philosophy. If possible, the peer reviewer should attend two different class sessions over the course of the semester.

In observing the course and reviewing the syllabus and other materials, the peer reviewer should focus on such issues as the appropriateness of the course design given the goals and level of the course, the quality and effectiveness of the instructional materials and assessment tools, and the appropriateness of the approach relative to current disciplinary knowledge. At the conclusion of the class visits, the reviewer meets with the candidate to give feedback and submits a written report to the TIU Head, copied to the candidate. The candidate may provide written comments on this report and the reviewer may respond if he/she wishes. The reports are included in the candidate's promotion and tenure dossier.
Appendix A: Faculty Compensation Philosophy

The Office of Academic Affairs policy on faculty compensation stresses that compensation decisions should support the recruitment, performance, and retention of high-quality faculty. Additionally, the college believes that competitive pay is key in recruiting, retaining, and rewarding the top talent that is critical to its ability to fulfill its mission. Our compensation program is intended to support a culture of high performance, reinforce performance and accountability, and be aligned with the core values of the university. Therefore, performance reviews are a critical component of professional development and thus are required for all employees.

Overview
To attract and retain outstanding faculty and staff required to fulfill our mission, our compensation system and adjustments in the College of Engineering (CoE) are provided in accordance with the following objectives:

- recognize and reward superior performance and exceptional accomplishments;
- achieve internal equity and maintain competitiveness in the market;
- recruit and retain high-performing mission-critical faculty and staff;
- recognize and reward contributions to supporting a culture of inclusion in the college that support the values of the university.

Compensation adjustments are based on merit, which includes performance, market equity (internal and external) and compression considerations when possible. Documented performance in key areas of responsibility and the achievement of specific annual goals are the primary criteria for all compensation adjustment decisions. Therefore, performance reviews are a critical component of professional development and thus are required for all employees (compensation adjustments must be supported by the performance review). We want to use the opportunity to recognize our highest performers, therefore, a strategic distribution of raises should be provided and no across-the-board increases shall be given. Increases should recognize superior performance, include no “cost-of-living” component and be allocated in a manner that is substantially differential. The compensation process should be utilized to inspire achievement and to reinforce performance and accountability.
Appendix B: Faculty Guidelines for Documenting University Values of Inclusion and Equity in Faculty Annual Reviews

Purpose: The College of Engineering is strongly committed to promoting university values in all areas of scholarship, instruction, and outreach. A diverse, equitable and inclusive climate enriches the engineering formal and informal curricula, fuels creativity, launches innovation, and helps develop the next generation of engineering leaders. In addition, the promotion of an environment that is inclusive and equitable for everyone will lead to excellence in our communities.

An active commitment and shared vision at all levels of the organization is required to advance inclusive excellence. Annual reviews are a basic tool for fostering activities that support the goals of the college and the unit.

Faculty annual reviews are an opportunity to communicate and make explicit the connections between faculty scholarship, teaching, service, inclusion, and equity, such as benefits to your department, college, students or the community. The following are guidelines about what faculty might include in their annual report/dossier to capture their engagement in inclusion and equity.

Statement of Values as they relate to your understanding and commitment to university values in higher education and the general community (in biographical narrative).

Activities that demonstrate your commitment to fostering inclusive excellence. These can be initiative and activities at any stage of development or simply your own professional development in this area. Ideas on the second page of this document. (Make sure items are included in the appropriate place in your dossier. If they don’t fit, add them in Administrative Service 6c (see below)).

Future Plans for continuing to advance university values in your research program, teaching, and service. (In biographical narrative or appropriate section of dossier)

Things to Consider Including in Annual Reviews

Research and Scholarship
- Explain how your research/scholarship directly addresses issues of diversity, inclusion, or equity
- Explain how your research/scholarship addresses issues specific to marginalized groups.
- Explain how your research/scholarship been shared with the community or public in a way that promotes access to scholarship or engaged scholarship.
- Explain how your scholarship has involved collaboration with diverse groups of colleagues or commentators.

Mentorship and Advising
- What students have you in a mentorship or advisory capacity who are from marginalized groups? Explain how you helped them identify and overcome barriers to success. These could be in the areas of, for example, in research mentorship, teaching or tutoring, academic advising, and community mentorship.
- If you plan to train undergraduates and/or graduate students in your future role, what efforts will you make to recruit and retain students from marginalized and underrepresented groups?

Teaching
- How do you plan to serve a student body that is diverse in a multitude of ways? Think not just race, ethnicity, and SES, but about age, religion, academic preparedness, disability, gender expression, or other differences.
• How does your approach to course design take into account considerations of diversity? You may wish to reflect on using a range of assessments, preventing bias in grading, diversifying course content, using inclusive language in the syllabus and classroom, or utilizing student feedback to improve classroom culture or tone. Try to generate at least one specific example of how your decision affects student’s learning in your course.

• What do you do as a teacher that creates a welcoming and inclusive atmosphere? How do you ensure that students in your class feel a sense of belonging?

• How does your approach to facilitating discussion (and/or structuring active learning activities) take into account considerations of positionality, power, and/or diversity? You may wish to reflect on using semi-structured discussion techniques, online access points for student participation, classroom seating arrangements, or other ways in which you create opportunities for student engagement. Try to generate at least one specific example of how your pedagogical choice facilitates student engagement in a particular course.

• Does your discipline lend itself to dialogue about diversity? If so, how do you incorporate this dialogue into your courses? Describe the impact of doing so on student learning and engagement.

• How do you ensure that your course readings and sources reflect diverse perspectives? Have you had any experience diversifying/decolonizing content for your courses, and if so, what has been the impact on student learning?

Service

• Have you participated in any service activities (e.g. university committees, symposiums, workshops, volunteer work in the community) whose goals relate to diversity, inclusion, and equity? If so, describe your experience. What did you accomplish? What did you learn? What skills did you build in the process?

• If you have engaged in diversity-related service, how will you incorporate your experience into your position?

• Have you engaged in diversity and inclusion-related professional development? What did you learn?